

MEMORANDUM FOR:

ACM M

Should we not
circulate copies
in IEC & FPBS?

done
mg
4/3

3 Apr
(DATE)

Declass Review by

MEMORANDUM FOR:

ACM: M

JTH WANTED THIS BACK .
I HAVE MADE A COPY &
WILL GET WITH DARRELL
ABOUT THE BOARD ACL
WANTS MADE.

TAL.

30 MAR 64
(DATE)

FROM	JWC	DATE	26 Mar
------	-----	------	--------

TO	INITIALS	DATE	REMARKS
DIRECTOR	4	GCL 3/27	FYI - MADE COPY Return to [] & I'll give it some more circulation. Art - you might want to show to DDI or EWP.
DEP/DIRECTOR	3	[] 4/20	
EXEC/DIRECTOR	2	[] 3/26	
SPECIAL ASST	1	[] 3/26	
ASST TO DIR	[]	TOL 3/30	
HISTORIAN			
CH/PPBS			
DEP CH/PPBS			
EO/PPBS			
CH/IEG			
DEP CH/IEG			
EO/IEG			
CH/PSG			
DEP CH/PSG			
EO/PSG			
CH/TSSG			
DEP CH/TSSG			
EO/TSSG			
CH/SSD/TSSG			
PERSONNEL			
LOGISTICS			
TRAINING			
RECORDS MGT			
SECURITY			
FINANCE			
DIR/IAS/DDI			
CH/DIAXX-4		T.L	
CH/DIAAP-9		NOTE	
CH/SPAD			

Believe this was
report being referred
to by DD/I today
in EXEC. COUNCIL as
he recapped the

Last weekend -
Most interesting
figures which could
be used to start
a nice briefing board
on evaluation of
use of photography
in finished intelligence

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Dir/NPIC

Dep/Dir, NPIC

~~Ex/Dir, NPIC~~

*I thought these statistics
from 20/547
would be interesting to you -*

JWC 3/26

(DATE)

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM		
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET

OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	[redacted] NPIC	Jul	3hlp
2	6N215 [redacted]		
3			
4			
5			
6			

ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT	FILE	RETURN
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE

Remarks:

The attached informal survey may be of some interest with respect to its R&D implications, even though the sample is quite restricted.

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER	
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.	DATE
[redacted]	[redacted]

SECRET

DDS&T PUBLICATIONS SURVEY

1. The finished intelligence published during the fourth quarter of 1969 by OSI and FMSAC was surveyed to determine the frequency and kind of use made of collectors' products in pro-ducing/ these publications.

2. The publications totaled 28 of which 20 STIR's and three IM's were produced by OSI and five STIR's by FMSAC. Each publication was categorized from a topical standpoint as either military R&D or basic sciences. Based on this separation the 28 publications broke down into 18 military R&D and ten basic science studies. Of the 18 military R&D publications, 13 were on the USSR, three on Communist China, one on Japan and one on East Europe. In the basic science category there were seven on the USSR and one on Communist China and two on West Europe.

3. The author of each manuscript was asked to rate each collection system's products used in preparation of the study on the following basis:

- a. Principal. Could not have arrived at conclusions of the study without use of a product from this system.
- b. Supplemental. Important to the study but not essential to arriving at the conclusions.
- c. Incidental. For background use but not important to the study.

Because of the all-source nature of the analysis and production process, more than one source can be rated as "Principal". Therefore, the attached statistics add up to more than 100 percent; the figures listed for each collection system are expressed in terms of the percent of the total number of reports in which the product of that collection system was used as "Principal" source material.

4. The collection systems are ranked in the attached charts on the basis of product use in DDS&T publications as a whole, in the military R&D publications, and in the basic scientific publications. You will note that in each case the average number of "Principal" sources per report is close to 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ --a good indication of an "all-source" analytical process.

20 March 1970

SECRET

DDS&T OVERALL RANKING

(TOTAL OF 28 PUBLICATIONS)

Imagery	46 %
Open Literature	43 %
Domestic Contact Service	32 %
COMINT	25 %
Clandestine Service	21 %
Other Gov't Reports	14 %
Telemetry	14 %



ELINT	11 %
State Reports	7 %
DoD Reports	7 %
Wire Service	4 %

235 % or

An Average of 2.35
Principal Sources for
Each Report.

SECRET

DDS&T MILITARY R&D PUBS RANKING

(TOTAL OF 18 PUBLICATIONS)

Imagery	67 %
COMINT	33 %
Clandestine Service	28 %
Telemetry	22 %
ELINT	17 %
Domestic Contact Service	17 %
Other Gov't Reports	17 %
Open Literature	17 %
State Reports	0 %

Wire Service 0 %

229 % or

An Average of 2.29
Principal Sources for
Each Report.

25X1

SECRET

SECRET

DDS&T BASIC SCIENTIFIC PUBS RANKING

(TOTAL OF 10 PUBLICATIONS)

Open Literature 90 %

Domestic Contact Service 60 %

State 20 %

Imagery 10 %

COMINT 10 %

Clandestine Service 10 %

Wire Service 10 %

Other Gov't Reports 10 %

ELINT 0 %

Telemetry 0 %

DoD Reports 0 %

250 % or

An Average of 2.5
Principal Sources for
Each Report.

25

SECRET